

The Prevalence of Refractive Errors Among Iranian University Students

Hassan Hashemi, MD¹ • Mehdi Khabazkhoob, PhD² • Negareh Yazdani, MSc³
Hadi Ostadimoghaddam, PhD^{3,4} • Akbar Derakhshan, MD⁵ • Sara Soroush, MSc¹
MirNaghi Mousavi, MD⁵ • Saman Mohazzab-Torabi, MD¹
Nooshin Dadbin, MSc¹ • AbbasAli Yekta, PhD^{3,4}

Abstract

Purpose: To determine the prevalence of refractive errors in the students of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, we used cluster sampling for selecting participants from every department of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, proportional to the number of students in each department. Each participant received refraction examination with an auto-refractometer and check up with a retinoscope. Myopia and hyperopia were defined as spherical equivalent (SE) less than -0.5 and more than +0.5 D, respectively. Astigmatism was defined as cylinder power worse than 0.5 D.

Results: Out of 1,745 selected individuals, the data of 1,431 participants were analyzed after implementing the exclusion criteria; 58.8% of the participants were female and the mean age of the participants was 23.8±3.8 years (range, 18-32 years). Myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism were seen in 41.7% (95%CI 38.7-44.7), 7.8% (95%CI 6.2-9.4), and 25.6% (95%CI 23-28.3) of the students in this study, respectively. The prevalence of myopia increased significantly with age (OR=1.16 1.12-1.20 p<0.001). The prevalence of hyperopia was significantly higher in females (OR=2.1 1.1-3.7 p=0.025) and decreased significantly with age (OR=0.87 0.81-0.94 p=0.001). The prevalence of astigmatism increased significantly with age. Moreover, 6% of the students had anisometropia and 1.2% had high myopia.

Conclusion: The prevalence of myopia was considerably high in these students; therefore, attention to this age group to identify and correct the refractive errors should receive priority in the health system.

Keywords: Cross-Sectional Study, Prevalence, Myopia, Hyperopia, Astigmatism, University Student

Iranian Journal of Ophthalmology 2014;26(3):129-35 © 2014 by the Iranian Society of Ophthalmology

1. Noor Ophthalmology Research Center, Noor Eye Hospital, Tehran, Iran
2. Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3. Refractive Errors Research Center, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
4. Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
5. Khatam-al-Anbia Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

Received: September 13, 2014

Accepted: November 20, 2014

Correspondence to: AbbasAli Yekta, PhD

Refractive Errors Research Center, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

Email: yektaa@mums.ac.ir

Conflict of interest: None

Financial support: This project is funded by Vice Chancellor for Research of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences.

Introduction

Refractive errors are the primary cause of visual impairment.¹ Although they can be easily corrected with glasses or the contact lens, they can lead to visual impairment or even blindness in some countries.¹ Numerous epidemiologic studies have increased our knowledge of refractive errors in different parts of the world.²⁻¹⁵ Evaluation of the demographic, environmental, genetic, and ethnic factors in refractive errors has illustrated the role of each one in these errors.^{16,17} Regarding the refractive errors changes with age, we already know that a person is hyperopic in the early years of life and becomes myopic with the increase in age but again there is a hyperopic shift after forty years of age.¹⁸ We know that the prevalence of myopia is high in East Asian countries and the role of some genes in myopia has been identified.^{10,14,15} Researchers have paid attention to the prevalence of these errors in different age groups, from children^{6,12,19-25} to the elderly.^{13,15,22,26-31} The prevalence of the refractive errors has been reported from less than 1%³² to 16%⁵ in children and from 20% to more than 70% in older age groups. Numerous studies on the prevalence of refractive errors and ocular problems have been conducted by Iranian researchers in different parts of Iran and as a result,^{5,12,19,27,33-35} our information on preschool and school aged children is almost complete and there are reports on the old age. However, no study has exclusively evaluated Iranian young adults, specially university students. Awareness of the refractive errors in this age group elucidates their visual problems; furthermore, because refractive surgery is often performed after 18 years of age, it can show what percentage of the population will require refractive surgery in the coming years which allows for better accessibility and planning. We designed and performed this study to determine the prevalence of refractive errors, specially myopia, in Iranian university students. This study exclusively evaluated refractive errors in this age group for the first time.

Methods

This cross sectional study was performed in 2013. The target population of the study was the students of Mashhad University of Medical

Sciences. In this cross-sectional study, we used cluster sampling for selecting participants from every department of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, proportional to the number of students in each department. The selected students were invited to participate in the study. All examinations were performed in one place. Consent was obtained from students after they agreed to participate in the study. In the first step, the participants answered a number of demographic questions in an interview and then, the examinations were performed.

Examinations

Students entered the study if they had no prior history of refractive or any kind of ocular surgery. Non-cycloplegic autorefractometry was performed five times for each eye by an experienced optometrist using an autorefractometer (Topcon RM8800, Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and the mean value was recorded. The results of autorefractometry were then refined with retinoscopy (HEINE BETA 200, HEINE Optotechnic, Germany) and a lens set (MSD Meniscus Trial Lenses, Italy). Then, if the student used glasses, visual acuity was measured with his/her glasses using an E-optotype Snellen chart at 6 m. After that, lensometry was performed (Topcon LM 800, Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and the lens power and prescription time were recorded. In the next stage, uncorrected visual acuity was measured in all students.

Definitions

In this study, myopia and hyperopia were defined as a spherical equivalent (SE) less than -0.5 and more than 0.5 D, respectively.^{15,36-39} Astigmatism was defined as cylinder power more than 0.5 D (the cylinder power was recorded as a negative value). Anisometropia was present if the SE difference of the eyes was 1 D or more. Regarding the severity of the refractive errors, SE less than -6 D was regarded as severe myopia, SE between -3.1 and -6 D was regarded as moderate myopia, and SE between -0.51 and -3 D was considered mild myopia. SE between 0.51 D and 2 D, between 2.1 D and 4 D, and more than 4 D was defined as mild, moderate, and severe

hyperopia, respectively. Astigmatism axis was classified as with-the-rule (WTR) if the axis was between 150° and 180° or between 0° and 30°, against-the-rule (ATR) if the axis was between 60° and 120° and oblique if else.

Data analysis

In this study, the prevalence of refractive errors was reported as percentage with a 95% confidence interval. After descriptive analysis, logistic regression was used to investigate the correlation of refractive errors with variables such as age and sex.

Ethical issues

Each student signed an inform consent form prior to participation after receiving information on the objective of the study. Before the examinations, the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences approved the study proposal.

Results

In this study, out of 1,745 selected students, 1,454 agreed to participate in the study. Twenty three students were excluded from the study due to the positive history of refractive surgery; therefore, analysis was performed on 1,431 participants. About 58.8% (n=842) of the participants were female. The mean age of the participants was 23.8±3.8 years (range: 18-32 years). The correlation of the SE between the two eyes was 0.886 and therefore the results of the right eye were analyzed. The mean SE of the participants was -1.2±2.0 D (range, -9.25-6.38 D). The mean SE did not show any significant difference between male and female participants (p=0.591). The mean SE showed a myopic shift with the increase in age (p<0.001). Table 1 presents the prevalence of different types of refractive errors based on

age and sex. According to table 1, 41.7% (95%CI 38.7-44.7) of the students were myopic. We noticed no significant relationship between the prevalence of myopia and sex (p=0.370, OR=1.14 0.86-1.5). However, according to table 1, the prevalence of myopia increased from 27.9% in the age group 18-20 years to 71.6% in participants older than 30 years of age (p<0.001, OR=1.16 1.12-1.20). On the other hand, 7.8% (95%CI 6.2-9.4) of the students were hyperopic. According to table 1, the prevalence of hyperopia was significantly higher in female students (p=0.025, OR=2.1 1.1-3.7) and significantly decreased with aging (p=0.001, OR=0.87 0.81-0.94).

The prevalence of astigmatism was 25.6% (95%CI 23-28.3) in the participants with no significant difference between males and females (p=0.131). However, the prevalence of astigmatism increased significantly with aging (p=0.001, OR=1.13). The prevalence of WTR, ATR, and oblique astigmatism was 17.9%, 5.2%, and 2.6% in our study population. No significant difference was observed in the type of astigmatism between male and female students (p=0.404). The prevalence of ATR astigmatism increased significantly with age (p=0.001). Anisometropia was found in 6% of the students and was significantly higher in male students (p<0.001) and increased in a non-linear fashion with age (χ^2 for trend, p=0.019). According to the findings of this study, the prevalence of severe, moderate, and mild myopia was 1.2%, 21.1%, and 19.4% in the participating students. Furthermore, 7.7% of the students had mild hyperopia and 0.1% had severe hyperopia. Severe myopia was more prevalent in male versus female students (p<0.001).

Table 1. The prevalence of myopia, hyperopia, astigmatism and anisometropia in medical student of mashhad

	Myopia	Hyperopia	Astigmatism	Anisometropia
Age	% (95%CI)	% (95%CI)	% (95%CI)	% (95%CI)
18-20	27.9 (22.7-33.1)	10.3 (6.8-13.9)	17.2 (12.9-21.6)	4.5 (2.1-6.9)
21-23	36.5 (31.5-41.4)	10.2 (7.1-13.4)	22.7 (18.3-27.0)	5.8 (3.4-8.2)
24-26	48.9 (41.4-56.3)	2.8 (0.4-5.3)	28.4 (21.7-35.1)	2.8 (0.4-5.3)
27-29	54.8 (45.1-64.5)	4.8 (0.6-9.0)	30.8 (21.7-39.8)	12.5 (6.0-19)
≥30	71.6 (63.0-80.2)	3.7 (0.1-7.3)	48.6 (39.1-58.2)	9.2 (3.7-14.7)
Female	42.5 (39.0-46.0)	8.9 (6.9-11)	24.4 (21.4-27.5)	4.5 (3.0-5.9)
Male	39.4 (33.7-45.2)	4.7 (2.2-7.1)	29.0 (23.7-34.4)	10.0 (6.5-13.6)
Total	41.7 (38.7-44.7)	7.8 (6.2-9.4)	25.6 (23.0-28.3)	6.0 (4.5-7.4)

Discussion

Numerous reports on refractive errors have been published from Iran.^{5,12,19,34,40} However, the results of the present study showed that the pattern of refractive errors was different in university students when compared to other groups and therefore they could be considered a high risk group. The distribution of refractive errors was different in this group in comparison with similar age-matched normal groups. Considering the results of this study, 41.7% of the students were myopic. Considering the results of this study and according to table 2 which shows the results of other studies from Iran, the prevalence of myopia is higher in university students compared to school-aged children, even higher than same age group in Tehran and Mashhad studies.^{41,42} The prevalence of myopia in our study was almost similar to its prevalence in the elderly population.^{27,41,42} Comparison of the results of this study with other studies worldwide confirms this finding because the prevalence of myopia in East Asian countries in which myopia is rather similar to our study.^{15,21,26,28} Onal et al⁴³ reported a prevalence of about 32% for myopia in Turkish university students; although the reported prevalence was high, it was lower than the prevalence of myopia in our study. Sun et al⁴⁴ reported that more than 90% of the university students had myopia.

Table 2. Summary of other studies concerning myopia and hyperopia in Iran

City of Iran	Age (years)	Myopia (%)	Hyperopia (%)
Dezful ⁵	7-15	3.4	16.6
Shiraz ¹⁹	7-15	4.4	5.0
Bojnourd ¹²	6-17	4.3	5.4
Shahrud ³⁴	40-64	38.3	22.1
Mashhad ⁴¹	1-90	17.9	41.38
Tehran ⁴²	5-90	17.2	56.5

The high prevalence of myopia in our study shows refractive errors should receive more attention in university students because myopia is the most common cause of uncorrected refractive error. It seems that studying hard for the university entrance exam, which imposes a high load of near activity, is the reason for the high prevalence of myopia in this age group.

Regarding hyperopia, 7.8% of the students were hyperopic, which is concordant with the results of other studies on the prevalence of hyperopia in university students⁴¹⁻⁴³; therefore, hyperopia is not a concerning issue in our university students. Since hyperopia and myopia are optically opposite each other, the myopic shift in university students is the reason for the low prevalence of hyperopia in them. As mentioned earlier, there was no significant difference in myopia between male and female students while hyperopia was more prevalent in females. Regarding myopia, studies on different age groups have reported different findings but most studies have shown a higher prevalence of myopia in males.^{27,29,34,45-47} The prevalence of hyperopia was higher in our female students, which is concordant with other studies.^{27,29,34,46} The differences in the distribution of the biometric components of the eye can explain this difference. Most studies have shown that the axial length is shorter in women⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰; since short axial length is related to hyperopia, the shorter axial length in females can be the reason for the higher prevalence of hyperopia in them.^{49,51} Our findings showed that the prevalence of myopia increased linearly with age while the prevalence of hyperopia decreased with age. The changes in the refractive errors with age have been investigated in many studies.^{14,27,37,40,41,46} The decrease in hyperopia and increase in myopia from childhood to adolescence have been reported in several studies^{12,32,52} which are believed to result from the changes in the ocular structure, specially the axial length. However, the changes in the refractive errors after 20 years of age,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ when ocular growth has reached its plateau, are caused by other factors. It seems that environmental factors, specially near work, are the most important reason in this regard. It should be noted that the increasing trend of the prevalence of myopia with age is seen up the fourth decade of life because a number of studies have shown a hyperopic shift after forty years of age due to changes in the lens structure.^{18,56,57} However, there are reports of the increase in the prevalence of myopia after forty years of age mainly due to nuclear cataract^{27,37,39,47}; therefore, this finding cannot be generalized to healthy populations.

One out of four students in our study had astigmatism. It is rather difficult to discuss astigmatism in university students since a limited number of studies have investigated astigmatism in this age group; however, our findings showed that the prevalence of astigmatism in university students was higher than children and lower than elderly people.^{12,58} In other words, its prevalence was midrange in our study population. As mentioned earlier, the prevalence of astigmatism increased significantly with age. This finding has been reported in other cross sectional and cohort studies, as well. Asano⁵⁹, and Baldwin⁶⁰ believed that the changes in the prevalence of astigmatism with age were mainly due to corneal changes and steepening of its curvature and reported that lens astigmatism did not change significantly with age. Our findings did not show any significant difference in the prevalence of astigmatism between male and female students. Although there are contradictory reports regarding the correlation of the gender and astigmatism prevalence, the majority of the studies have found no relationship. However, some studies have reported a higher prevalence in males or vice versa. As a result, we cannot comment on the correlation of astigmatism and sex in this age group. Similar to other studies, we noticed an increase in the prevalence of ATR astigmatism with age. This finding has been already confirmed and attributed to the decreased eyelid pressure on the cornea.

Conclusion

The prevalence of myopia is considerably high in Iranian university students. There should be screening programs to find the undetected cases of myopia in university students due to the importance of this refractive error and the large amount of near work in them. Correction of myopia can decrease the prevalence of visual impairment, as well.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank the Research Vice Chancellor of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran, for supporting this study (grant code: 910521). The results described in this paper were part of an MSc optometry thesis.

References

1. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2012;96(5):614-8.
2. Midelfart A, Kinge B, Midelfart S, Lydersen S. Prevalence of refractive errors in young and middle-aged adults in Norway. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 2002;80(5):501-5.
3. Kempen JH, Mitchell P, Lee KE, Tielsch JM, Broman AT, Taylor HR, et al. The prevalence of refractive errors among adults in the United States, Western Europe, and Australia. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122(4):495-505.
4. Vitale S, Ellwein L, Cotch MF, Ferris FL 3rd, Sperduto R. Prevalence of refractive error in the United States, 1999-2004. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2008;126(8):1111-9.
5. Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Mohammad K. The prevalence of refractive errors among schoolchildren in Dezful, Iran. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2007;91(3):287-92.
6. Leone JF, Cornell E, Morgan IG, Mitchell P, Kifley A, Wang JJ, et al. Prevalence of heterophoria and associations with refractive error, heterotropia and ethnicity in Australian school children. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2010;94(5):542-6.
7. Saw SM, Goh PP, Cheng A, Shankar A, Tan DT, Ellwein LB. Ethnicity-specific prevalences of refractive errors vary in Asian children in neighbouring Malaysia and Singapore. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2006;90(10):1230-5.
8. Dirani M, Chan YH, Gazzard G, Hornbeak DM, Leo SW, Selvaraj P, et al. Prevalence of refractive error in Singaporean Chinese children: the strabismus, amblyopia, and refractive error in young Singaporean Children (STARS) study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010;51(3):1348-55.
9. Katz J, Tielsch JM, Sommer A. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in an adult inner city population. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1997;38(2):334-40.
10. Pan CW, Wong TY, Lavanya R, Wu RY, Zheng YF, Lin XY, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in Indians: the Singapore Indian Eye Study (SINDI). *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52(6):3166-73.
11. Raju P, Ramesh SV, Arvind H, George R, Baskaran M, Paul PG, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors in a rural South Indian population. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004;45(12):4268-72.
12. Rezvan F, Khabazkhoob M, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Ostadimoghaddam H, Heravian J, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors among school children in Northeastern Iran. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2012;32(1):25-30.
13. Bourne RR, Dineen BP, Ali SM, Noorul Huq DM, Johnson GJ. Prevalence of refractive error in Bangladeshi adults: results of the National Blindness and Low Vision Survey of Bangladesh. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111(6):1150-60.
14. Gupta A, Casson RJ, Newland HS, Muecke J, Landers J, Selva D, et al. Prevalence of refractive error in rural Myanmar: the Meiktila Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115(1):26-32.

15. Saw SM, Chan YH, Wong WL, Shankar A, Sandar M, Aung T, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in the Singapore Malay Eye Survey. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115(10):1713-9.
16. Schache M, Richardson AJ, Mitchell P, Wang JJ, Rochtchina E, Viswanathan AC, et al. Genetic association of refractive error and axial length with 15q14 but not 15q25 in the Blue Mountains Eye Study cohort. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120(2):292-7.
17. Hepsen IF, Evereklioglu C, Bayramlar H. The effect of reading and near-work on the development of myopia in emmetropic boys: a prospective, controlled, three-year follow-up study. *Vision Res* 2001;41(19):2511-20.
18. Hashemi H, Iribarren R, Morgan IG, Khabazkhoob M, Mohammad K, Fotouhi A. Increased hyperopia with ageing based on cycloplegic refractions in adults: the Tehran Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2010;94(1):20-3.
19. Yekta A, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Dehghani C, Ostadimoghaddam H, Heravian J, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors among schoolchildren in Shiraz, Iran. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2010;38(3):242-8.
20. Giordano L, Friedman DS, Repka MX, Katz J, Ibranke J, Hawes P, et al. Prevalence of refractive error among preschool children in an urban population: the Baltimore Pediatric Eye Disease Study. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116(4):739-46, 746.
21. Anera RG, Soler M, de la Cruz Cardona J, Salas C, Ortiz C. Prevalence of refractive errors in school-age children in Morocco. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2009;37(2):191-6.
22. Jobke S, Kasten E, Vorwerk C. The prevalence rates of refractive errors among children, adolescents, and adults in Germany. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2008;2(3):601-7.
23. Alam H, Siddiqui MI, Jafri SI, Khan AS, Ahmed SI, Jafar M. Prevalence of refractive error in school children of Karachi. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2008;58(6):322-5.
24. El-Bayoumy BM, Saad A, Choudhury AH. Prevalence of refractive error and low vision among schoolchildren in Cairo. *East Mediterr Health J* 2007;13(3):575-9.
25. Czepita D, Mojsa A, Ustianowska M, Czepita M, Lachowicz E. Prevalence of refractive errors in schoolchildren ranging from 6 to 18 years of age. *Ann Acad Med Stetin* 2007;53(1):53-6.
26. Tan CS, Chan YH, Wong TY, Gazzard G, Niti M, Ng TP, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors and ocular biometry parameters in an elderly Asian population: the Singapore Longitudinal Aging Study (SLAS). *Eye (Lond)* 2011;25(10):1294-301.
27. Yekta AA, Fotouhi A, Khabazkhoob M, Hashemi H, Ostadimoghaddam H, Heravian J, et al. The prevalence of refractive errors and its determinants in the elderly population of Mashhad, Iran. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2009;16(3):198-203.
28. Rosman M, Wong TY, Tay WT, Tong L, Saw SM. Prevalence and risk factors of undercorrected refractive errors among Singaporean Malay adults: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50(8):3621-8.
29. Krishnaiah S, Srinivas M, Khanna RC, Rao GN. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in the South Indian adult population: The Andhra Pradesh Eye disease study. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2009;3:17-27.
30. Wong TY, Foster PJ, Hee J, Ng TP, Tielsch JM, Chew SJ, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in adult Chinese in Singapore. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000;41(9):2486-94.
31. Brown SA, Weih LM, Fu CL, Dimitrov P, Taylor HR, McCarty CA. Prevalence of amblyopia and associated refractive errors in an adult population in Victoria, Australia. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2000;7(4):249-58.
32. Pokharel GP, Negrel AD, Munoz SR, Ellwein LB. Refractive error study in children: results from Mechi Zone, Nepal. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000;129(4):436-44.
33. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Fotouhi A. Visual impairment in the 40- to 64-year-old population of Shahrud, Iran. *Eye* 2012;26(8):1071-7.
34. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Jafarzadehpour E, Yekta AA, Emamian MH, Shariati M, et al. High prevalence of myopia in an adult population, Shahrud, Iran. *Optom Vis Sci* 2012;89(7):993-9.
35. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Yekta A, Mohammad K, Fotouhi A. Prevalence and risk factors for anisometropia in the Tehran eye study, Iran. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2011;18(3):122-8.
36. Wang Q, Klein BE, Klein R, Moss SE. Refractive status in the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1994;35(13):4344-7.
37. Sawada A, Tomidokoro A, Araie M, Iwase A, Yamamoto T; Tajimi Study Group. Refractive errors in an elderly Japanese population: the Tajimi study. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115(2):363-70.
38. Liang YB, Wong TY, Sun LP, Tao QS, Wang JJ, Yang XH, et al. Refractive errors in a rural Chinese adult population the Handan eye study. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116(11):2119-27.
39. Xu L, Li J, Cui T, Hu A, Fan G, Zhang R, et al. Refractive error in urban and rural adult Chinese in Beijing. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112(10):1676-83.
40. Hashemi H, Rezvan F, Ostadimoghaddam H, Abdollahi M, Hashemi M, Khabazkhoob M. High prevalence of refractive errors in a rural population: 'Nooravaran Salamat' Mobile Eye Clinic experience. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2013;41(7):635-43.
41. Ostadimoghaddam H, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Yekta A, Heravian J, Rezvan F, et al. Prevalence of the refractive errors by age and gender: the Mashhad eye study of Iran. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2011;39(8):743-51.
42. Hashemi H, Fotouhi A, Mohammad K. The age- and gender-specific prevalences of refractive errors in Tehran: the Tehran Eye Study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2004;11(3):213-25.
43. Onal S, Toker E, Akingol Z, Arslan G, Ertan S, Turan C, et al. Refractive errors of medical students in Turkey: one year follow-up of refraction and biometry. *Optom Vis Sci* 2007;84(3):175-80.
44. Sun J, Zhou J, Zhao P, Lian J, Zhu H, Zhou Y, et al. High prevalence of myopia and high myopia in 5060 Chinese university students in Shanghai. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2012;53(12):7504-9.
45. He M, Huang W, Zheng Y, Huang L, Ellwein LB. Refractive error and visual impairment in school

- children in rural southern China. *Ophthalmology* 2007;114(2):374-82.
46. Li Z, Sun D, Cui H, Zhang L, Lju P, Yang H, et al. Refractive error among the elderly in rural Southern Harbin, China. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2009;16(6):388-94.
 47. Wu SY, Nemesure B, Leske MC. Refractive errors in a black adult population: the Barbados Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1999;40(10):2179-84.
 48. Warrier S, Wu HM, Newland HS, Muecke J, Selva D, Aung T, et al. Ocular biometry and determinants of refractive error in rural Myanmar: the Meiktila Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2008;92(12):1591-4.
 49. Mallen EA, Gammoh Y, Al-Bdour M, Sayegh FN. Refractive error and ocular biometry in Jordanian adults. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2005;25(4):302-9.
 50. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Miraftab M, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Abdolahinia T, et al. The distribution of axial length, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, and vitreous chamber depth in an adult population of Shahroud, Iran. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2012;12:50.
 51. Wickremasinghe S, Foster PJ, Uranchimeg D, Lee PS, Devereux JG, Alsbirk PH, et al. Ocular biometry and refraction in Mongolian adults. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004;45(3):776-83.
 52. Murthy GV, Gupta SK, Ellwein LB, Muñoz SR, Pokharel GP, Sanga L, et al. Refractive error in children in an urban population in New Delhi. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2002;43(3):623-31.
 53. Zadnik K, Manny RE, Yu JA, Mitchell GL, Cotter SA, Quiralte JC, et al. Ocular component data in schoolchildren as a function of age and gender. *Optom Vis Sci* 2003;80(3):226-36.
 54. Zadnik K, Mutti DO, Mitchell GL, Jones LA, Burr D, Moeschberger ML. Normal eye growth in emmetropic schoolchildren. *Optom Vis Sci* 2004;81(11):819-28.
 55. Garner LF, Yap MK, Kinnear RF, Frith MJ. Ocular dimensions and refraction in Tibetan children. *Optom Vis Sci* 1995;72(4):266-71.
 56. Guzowski M, Wang JJ, Rochtchina E, Rose KA, Mitchell P. Five-year refractive changes in an older population: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110(7):1364-70.
 57. Gudmundsdottir E, Arnarsson A, Jonasson F. Five-year refractive changes in an adult population: Reykjavik Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112(4):672-7.
 58. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Yekta A, Jafarzadehpur E, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Fotouhi A. High prevalence of astigmatism in the 40- to 64-year-old population of Shahroud, Iran. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2012;40(3):247-54.
 59. Asano K, Nomura H, Iwano M, Ando F, Niino N, Shimokata H, et al. Relationship between astigmatism and aging in middle-aged and elderly Japanese. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 2005;49(2):127-33.
 60. Baldwin WR, Mills D. A longitudinal study of corneal astigmatism and total astigmatism. *Am J Optom Physiol Opt* 1981;58(3):206-11.