The Distribution of Ocular Biometry
in Iranian School Children

Hassan Hashemi, MD[1] • Farhad Rezvan, MD[1] • Asghar Beiranvand[2]
AbbasAli Yekta, PhD[3] • Hadi Ostadimoghaddam, PhD[4] • Amir Asharlous, MSc[5]
Fereidon Nirouzad, MD[6] • Mehdi Khabazkhoob, PhD[7]

Abstract

Purpose: To determine the distribution of axial length (AL), vitreous chamber depth (VCD), anterior chamber depth (ACD), lens thickness (LT), lens power (LP), radius of curvature (CR), and white-to-white corneal diameter (WTW) in the 14-20 year age range

Methods: In a cross-sectional study, sampling was done from Aligoodarz high schools using multistage simple cluster sampling. For all students, visual acuity and non-cycloplegic refraction tests were performed. Biometric components were measured using Allegro Biograph (WaveLight AG, Erlangen, Germany).

Results: In this report, data from 434 cases was used in the analysis; of these 222 (51.2%) were females. Mean and 95% confidence intervals of AL, VCD, ACD, LT, LP, CR, and WTW in the studied sample were 23.4 mm (23.32 to 23.48), 16.82 mm (16.74 to 16.9), 3.14 mm (3.12 to 3.16), 3.44 mm (3.42 to 3.46), 22.65 diopter (22.47 to 22.83), 7.74 mm (7.72 to 7.76), and 12.26 mm (12.22 to 12.3), respectively. In the multiple regression model, AL, VCD, ACD, CR, and WTW was significantly higher in boys while mean LT and LP were significantly higher in girls. The distributions of AL, ACD, LT, and CR were significantly different from normal. The distributions of AL, LT, and CR were leptokurtic, unlike ACD which had a platykurtic distribution pattern.

Conclusion: In this report, we describe the normal ranges of ocular biometric components in a sample population of 14-20 year old Iranians. ACD in this study was shorter and WTW was larger than previous studies and other components were in the midrange. More studies throughout Iran are needed to verify a shorter ACD and larger WTW. All components of ocular biometry showed significant inter-gender differences.

Keywords: Ocular Biometry, High School Children, Iran

---

1. Noor Ophthalmology Research Center, Noor Eye Hospital, Tehran, Iran
2. Health and Nutrition Research Center, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khoramabad, Iran
3. Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
4. Refractive Errors Research Center, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
5. Department of Optometry, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
6. Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran
7. Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence to: *Mehdi Khabazkhoob, PhD*
Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
Email: khabazkhoob@yahoo.com

Introduction

Ocular biometric components, specially axial length (AL) and corneal radius of curvature (CR), not only have an important role in causing refractive errors, but it is also essential for ophthalmologists to have knowledge about them before certain ocular surgeries.[1-7] Previous studies have found that ocular biometrics are affected by race, genetics, and environmental factors.[8-11]

Myopia and astigmatism are prevalent in East Asian countries while hyperopia is more prevalent in European and American countries.[12-18] The most important cause of such diversity in the distribution of refractive errors is the ethnic variation that exists in terms of ocular biometrics.

A study by Ip et al[11] demonstrated that East Asian children with longer ALs were more myopic and European Caucasians with shorter ALs were more hyperopic. Overall, these differences have caused reports to show varying normal ranges for ocular biometric components in different populations and races around the world. Also, due to the differences in each geographic area, diagnostic and therapeutic decisions should be based on normal values of the biometric components measured in the same area. During the last decade, several studies in Iran have investigated refractive errors[19-23]; however, the distribution of some of the components of the ocular biometrics in the Iranian teenage population is unknown to us. Studies indicate that the eye is still growing between the ages of 6 and 14 years,[24,25] and changes in certain components appear to stop after the age of 14 years.

Thus, knowledge of normal ranges of biometric components in this age group can help in the diagnosis and treatment of eye conditions, specially refractive errors.

The aim of presenting this report is to describe the distribution of AL, anterior chamber depth (ACD), lens power (LP), corneal CR, lens thickness (LT) and vitreous chamber depth (VCD) by age and gender in the 14-20 year age range.

Methods

The present study was conducted cross-sectionally in November 2011. The target population was high school students in Aligoudarz which is a city in Lorestan province in the west of Iran. We used multistage simple cluster sampling to select samples from Aligoudarz high schools, using classes and education years as clusters.

The target sample size for this study was 400. Two educational institutions (one of the three boys' centers, and one of the two girls' centers which accommodated at least 200 rural and urban students) were randomly selected. Then, two classes were randomly selected from each grade in each school. All students enrolled in these classes were invited. If a certain class was not able to participate, for any reason, another class of the same grade was selected instead. A total of 16 classes were targeted as the sampling clusters.

After selecting students, the importance of the study was explained to them, and those who were willing to participate in the study, had a participation form completed, had an interview, and their demographics were recorded.

Examinations

After the interview, students were guided to the examination room where all examinations were conducted. First, a skilled technician determined non-cycloplegic refraction using a autorefractometer TOPCON RM8800 (Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and its results were refined using retinoscopy for diagnosis of spasm of accommodation and psodomyopia with HEINE BETA 200 (HEINE Optotechnic Germany) and MSD (MSD Meniscus Trial Lenses, Italy). Then, if the student had spectacles, their visual acuity was first tested with the previous glasses using a Snellen E-chart at six meters. If the student had no glasses, their uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was measured. In the next stage, biometry was done using the Allegro Biograph (WaveLight AG, Erlangen, Germany). Biometry was done for both eyes of all participating students. LP was calculated according to the Bennett method[26] using the spherical equivalent (SE) base on subjective retinoscopy, keratometry, AL, ACD, and LT. For the calculation of the A and B constants of this formula, a Q value of 0.36 was used[26] and a lens equivalent index of 1.427 was used, as proposed by Mutti for children's lenses.[27]

Since VCD is not measured directly with the Biograph, ACD, LT, and corneal thickness (mm) values were deducted from AL to calculate VCD.

Statistical analysis

In this report, we determined the mean and 95% confidence intervals for each ocular biometry index by age and gender. In this report, we used 25%, 50%, 75%, 95%, and 99% percentiles to show the distribution of these variables. Also, to find the data distribution difference from normal distribution, we used the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, and determined distribution indices such as Interquartile Range, Kurtosis, and Skewness for these variables. To examine statistical relationships, we used simple and multiple linear regression tests. We used the independent samples *t*-test to compare the indices between two gender groups, and the analysis of variance to detect differences among age groups.

Ethical issues

A written informed consent was obtained from the parents of school children who participated in our study. The protocol of this study was approved by the review board of Noor Ophthalmology Research Center.

Results

A total of 438 cases were examined in this study; of these, four did not have biometry data, and eventually, analyses were done with data from 434 people. Of the participants, 222 (51.2%) were female. Mean and standard deviation of the age in these subjects was 16±1.3 years (14 to 20 years).

Results of this study showed a high correlation between the two eyes in terms of AL (Pearson correlation=0.965), ACD (Pearson correlation=0.965), LT (Pearson correlation=0.955), white-to-white corneal diameter (WTW) (Pearson correlation=0.930),

VCD (Pearson correlation=0.942), and CR, (Pearson correlation=0.833), and thus, only results of the right eye were analyzed.

Mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence intervals of the studied biometric components are presented in table 1 by gender. Comparison of the assessed indices in this study between genders indicated that mean AL, VCD, ACD, CR, and WTW readings were significantly higher in boys, while mean LP and LT was significantly higher among girls (Table 1). Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of AL, VCD, ACD, LT, LP, CR, and WTW in the studied population. As demonstrated in table 2, the distributions of AL, ACD, LT, and CR were significantly different from normal distribution. According to the normal distribution indices in table 2, the distributions of AL, LT, and CR were leptokurtic, unlike ACD which showed a platykurtic distribution pattern. Table 2 contains 5%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 95%, and 99% percentiles of biometric components.

Table 3 summarizes the mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence intervals for AL, VCD, ACD, LT, LP, CR, and WTW in different age groups in this study; according to the results of this study, only WTW was significantly different among age groups (p=0.023). Table 4 shows the mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence intervals for biometric components in this study by place of residence.

Table 5 shows the results of multiple linear regression tests; according to results of this model, after entering age, place of residence, and gender into the multiple model, only gender correlated significantly with biometric components.

The prevalence of myopia significantly increased with age in the multiple logistic regression model; the prevalence of myopia showed an increasing trend from 21.8% in 14 year olds to 37.1% in the 18 year old age group (p<0.001, OR=1.26).

74

Figure 1. The distribution of ocular biometrics in 14-20-year old schoolchildren

Table 1. The mean and 95% confidence interval of axial length, vitreous chamber depth, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, lens power, corneal radius of curvature and white-to-white of high school students by gender

Ocular biometrics	Total Mean±SD (95%CI)	Male Mean±SD (95%CI)	Female Mean±SD (95%CI)	p*
AL (mm)	23.4±0.84 (23.32 to 23.48)	23.76±0.82 (23.64 to 23.88)	23.06±0.71 (22.96 to 23.16)	<0.001
VCD (mm)	16.82±0.83 (16.74 to 16.9)	17.15±0.80 (17.05 to 17.25)	16.51±0.72 (16.41 to 16.61)	<0.001
ACD (mm)	3.14±0.25 (3.12 to 3.16)	3.19±0.24 (3.15 to 3.23)	3.08±0.24 (3.04 to 3.12)	<0.001
LT (mm)	3.44±0.19 (3.42 to 3.46)	3.42±0.18 (3.40 to 3.44)	3.47±0.20 (3.45 to 3.49)	0.004
LP (diopter)	22.65±1.83 (22.47 to 22.83)	22.15±1.86 (21.90 to 22.40)	23.14±1.67 (22.92 to 23.36)	<0.001
CR (mm)	7.74±0.27 (7.72 to 7.76)	7.83±0.27 (7.79 to 7.87)	7.66±0.24 (7.62 to 7.70)	<0.001
WTW (mm)	12.26±0.42 (12.22 to 12.3)	12.37±0.42 (12.31 to 12.43)	12.17±0.39 (12.11 to 12.23)	<0.001

AL: Axial length, VCD: Vitreous chamber depth, ACD: Anterior chamber depth, LT: Lens thickness, LP: Lens power,
CR: Corneal radius, WTW: white to white corneal diameter, * p-value calculated by independent *t*-test

Table 2. The percentiles, Skewness, Kurtosis and interquartile range of axial length, vitreous chamber depth, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, crystalline lens power, corneal radius of curvature and white-to-white in this study by gender

Gender	Ocular component	Percentile						Normal distribution indices			
		5	25	50	75	95	99	IQR	Skewness	Kurtosis	KS
Male	AL (mm)	22.44	23.30	23.70	24.28	25.02	25.94	0.99	0.062	1.542	0.094
	VCD (mm)	16.04	16.68	17.13	17.58	18.55	19.40	0.91	0.258	1.783	0.053
	ACD (mm)	2.82	3.04	3.20	3.36	3.60	3.73	0.32	-0.015	-0.089	0.200
	LT (mm)	3.11	3.30	3.41	3.54	3.74	3.86	0.24	0.069	-0.136	0.054
	LP (diopter)	19.55	20.96	21.93	23.28	25.28	26.74	2.33	0.061	1.973	0.200
	CR (mm)	7.40	7.65	7.81	8.02	8.28	8.52	0.37	0.398	0.272	0.200
	WTW (mm)	11.67	12.07	12.36	12.65	13.02	13.25	0.59	-0.045	-0.415	0.200
Female	AL (mm)	22.00	22.68	23.02	23.45	24.39	24.84	0.730	0.045	1.136	0.023
	VCD (mm)	15.51	16.04	16.46	16.89	17.90	18.41	0.850	-0.008	1.738	0.200
	ACD (mm)	2.68	2.93	3.10	3.21	3.47	3.63	0.280	-0.028	0.054	0.028
	LT (mm)	3.15	3.33	3.46	3.60	3.79	3.97	0.270	0.449	0.511	0.200
	LP (diopter)	20.61	21.89	23.09	24.03	26.25	27.92	2.140	0.609	0.933	0.200
	CR (mm)	7.28	7.51	7.65	7.79	8.07	8.23	0.300	0.259	0.638	0.200
	WTW (mm)	11.46	11.91	12.18	12.47	12.78	13.01	0.570	-0.218	-0.052	0.200

AL: Axial length, VCD: Vitreous chamber depth, ACD: Anterior chamber depth, LT: Lens thickness, LP: Lens power,
CR: Corneal radius, WTW: white to white corneal diameter, IQR: Interquartile range, KS: Kolmogorov-Smirnova

Table 3. The mean and 95% confidence interval of axial length, vitreous chamber depth, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, crystalline lens power, corneal radius of curvature and white-to-white of high school students by age group

Age (years) Ocular component	14 (n=55) Mean±SD (95%CI)	15 (n=126) Mean±SD (95%CI)	16 (n=99) Mean±SD (95%CI)	17 (n=93) Mean±SD (95%CI)	≥18 (n=61) Mean±SD (95%CI)	p*
AL (mm)	23.48±0.9 (23.24 to 23.72)	23.48±0.84 (23.34 to 23.62)	23.27±0.79 (23.11 to 23.43)	23.41±0.88 (23.23 to 23.59)	23.38±0.82 (23.18 to 23.58)	0.374
VCD (mm)	16.88±0.85 (16.66 to 17.1)	16.89±0.83 (16.75 to 17.03)	16.69±0.83 (16.53 to 16.85)	16.86±0.88 (16.68 to 17.04)	16.8±0.87 (16.58 to 17.02)	0.450
ACD (mm)	3.19±0.21 (3.13 to 3.25)	3.15±0.25 (3.11 to 3.19)	3.14±0.27 (3.08 to 3.2)	3.13±0.24 (3.09 to 3.17)	3.08±0.24 (3.02 to 3.14)	0.226
LT (mm)	3.42±0.18 (3.38 to 3.46)	3.45±0.21 (3.41 to 3.49)	3.44±0.18 (3.4 to 3.48)	3.42±0.19 (3.38 to 3.46)	3.5±0.19 (3.46 to 3.54)	0.129
LP (diopter)	22.53±1.96 (22 to 23.06)	22.71±1.86 (22.38 to 23.04)	22.71±1.85 (22.34 to 23.08)	22.47±1.65 (22.14 to 22.8)	22.85±1.89 (22.38 to 23.32)	0.726
CR (mm)	7.8±0.29 (7.72 to 7.88)	7.77±0.26 (7.73 to 7.81)	7.71±0.28 (7.65 to 7.77)	7.73±0.27 (7.67 to 7.79)	7.72±0.27 (7.66 to 7.78)	0.201
WTW (mm)	12.4±0.37 (12.3 to 12.5)	12.31±0.44 (12.23 to 12.39)	12.21±0.42 (12.13 to 12.29)	12.21±0.4 (12.13 to 12.29)	12.22±0.42 (12.12 to 12.32)	0.023**

AL: Axial length, VCD: Vitreous chamber depth, ACD: Anterior chamber depth, LT: Lens thickness, LP: Lens power,
CR: Corneal radius, WTW: white to white corneal diameter,
* p-value calculated by ANOVA, ** The p-value was statically significant

Table 4. The mean and 95% confidence interval of axial length, vitreous chamber depth, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, crystalline lens power, corneal radius of curvature and white-to-white of high school students by place of residence

Ocular component	Rural (n=177) Mean±SD (95%CI)	Urban (n=146) Mean±SD (95%CI)	P*
AL (mm)	23.2±0.77 (23.08 to 23.32)	23.54±0.85 (23.44 to 23.64)	<0.001
VCD (mm)	16.62±0.76 (16.5 to 16.74)	16.96±0.84 (16.86 to 17.06)	<0.001
ACD (mm)	3.12±0.25 (3.08 to 3.16)	3.15±0.24 (3.11 to 3.19)	0.280
LT (mm)	3.45±0.20 (3.41 to 3.49)	3.43±0.19 (3.41 to 3.45)	0.253
LP (diopter)	22.84±1.67 (22.59 to 23.09)	22.49±1.92 (22.25 to 22.73)	0.056
CR (mm)	7.69±0.26 (7.65 to 7.73)	7.77±0.28 (7.73 to 7.81)	0.002
WTW (mm)	12.2±0.39 (12.14 to 12.26)	12.31±0.44 (12.25 to 12.37)	0.012

AL: Axial length, VCD: Vitreous chamber depth, ACD: Anterior chamber depth, LT: Lens thickness, LP: Lens power, CR: Corneal radius, WTW: white to white corneal diameter
* p-value calculated by independent *t*-test.

Table 5. The associations between ocular biometry and the studied variables in multiple linear regressions

	AL	VCD	ACD	LT	LP	CR	WTW
Coef (p-value)							
Gender	-0.68 (<0.001)*	0.61 (<0.001)*	-0.12 (<0.001)*	0.06 (0.008)*	1.08 (<0.001)*	-0.16 (<0.001)*	-0.18 (<0.001)*
Age (year)	0 (0.94)	0.06 (0.471)	-0.03 (0.215)	0.01 (0.787)	0.14 (0.469)	0.01 (0.835)	0.02 (0.732)
Residence (urban/rural)	0.03 (0.69)	0.00 (0.925)	-0.02 (0.083)	0.01 (0.135)	-0.02 (0.768)	-0.01 (0.233)	-0.03 (0.045)*

AL: Axial length, VCD: Vitreous chamber depth, ACD: Anterior chamber depth, LT: Lens thickness, LP: Lens power, CR: Corneal radius, WTW: white to white corneal diameter
* The p-value was statically significant.

Discussion

In this report, we described the distribution of ocular biometrics in adolescence years in an Iranian population. Knowledge of normal values of biometric components in this age group can help identify biometric causes of myopia. Mean AL in this study was 23.4 mm and 95% of the study sample had AL between 23.3 to 23.5 mm, also, as demonstrated, the distribution of AL was leptokurtic. These findings indicate that the variation range in AL in the 14-20 year age group is not wide and only 1% of this population have AL more than 25.7 mm. Table 6 summarizes the results of other studies, in 5-30 year age groups, where AL ranges between 22.61 mm and 24.09 mm. One of the most important reasons for these changes is the age range. As demonstrated in table 6, the shortest AL is in relation to the 5.5 to 8.4 year old age group while 17 to 30 year olds have the longest AL. Overall, AL in our sample of students was in the mid range, while people of East Asian origin have the longest AL, and Europeans have the shortest

AL. These findings are consistent with refractive error results from different studies. As we know today, the prevalence of myopia is high in East Asian countries and highest prevalence race have been observed in some American and European countries. Racial differences in refractive errors have been described in previous studies. Since previous studies have shown that refractive errors are in the mid range in Iranian students, our findings in terms of AL were not unexpected. Although AL was in the midrange in this study, as demonstrated in Table 6, the ACD was shorter compared to other studies. Interestingly, the ACD in the Tehran Eye Study[28] was shorter in all age groups compared to other studies, and the observation was repeated in the study of elderly people in Shahroud.[29] Although the LT in studies in Madrid[30] and Jordan[1] were reported to be thicker compared to our study, the overall LT in our study was in the midrange, thus, our findings show that the

VCD has a larger share of the AL in our studied population compared to other studies; VCD readings in our study confirm this matter. Since previous studies have shown that Iranians have shorter ACD, the factors of race and genetics must be considered in explaining longer VCD. Previous animal studies have shown that AL, specially VCD, is affected by genetic variants.[31]

It must be noted that in this study testing was not done under cyclopegia, and residual accommodation might have impacted measurements. Since accommodation involves increased LT and decreased ACD, the smaller ACD could be attributed to using non-cylcoplegic measurement results. The effect of accommodation should also be noted when comparing results of LT and VCD. Since non-cylcplegic SE was used in calculating the LP, this variable might have been slightly affected as well. It should be taken into consideration that the LP measurement is not the same as a phakometry measurement by independent means such as a videophakometer.

Corneal CR in our study was not very different from that in other studies. Previous studies had demonstrated that inter-ethnic differences in CR are not significant; even laboratory genetic studies of normal eyes found no significant relationship between CR and genetics. This observation, along with our findings in terms of AL and ACD indicate that although cornea contributes most to the refractive power of the eye, ethnic variations in refractive errors are due to variations of AL.

Mean corneal diameter was 12.26 mm in this study and 11.81 mm in the 14-19 year old age group of Tehran Eye Study; this indicates a larger corneal diameter in our studied sample compared to residents of Tehran. The instrument used in Tehran Eye Study was Orbscan II, so this difference may be merely due to different instruments used in these studies.[32]

The corneal diameter reported in different studies ranges between 11.5 mm and 12.5 mm.[32-34] Based on the 75%, 95%, and 99% percentiles of corneal diameter in this study, more than 25% of the sample in this study had a corneal diameter more than 12.5 mm. These findings indicate that available definitions for macrocornea and microcornea cannot be applied to all studies, and regional data and the measurement method are needed to define accurate cutoff points for these corneal conditions.

According to our findings, AL, ACD and VCD were longer, the cornea was flatter and WTW was larger in boys. On the other hand girls had higher LP and thicker lenses compared to boys. In agreement with our findings, other studies have demonstrated larger AL and ACD in boys [8,11,35-37] and higher LP in girls.[35,37,38] However, results in terms of corneal CR and LT are inconclusive[11,35,37] A look to studies in older age groups shows that most inter-gender differences seen in adolescence ages continue to exist in middle age and older groups.[2,39-41] For example, Olsen et al[39] showed smaller LP and larger AL and ACD in elderly men compared to women. Similarly, He et al[40] studied elderly Chinese and found smaller LP and larger AL and ACD in men compared to women. Warrier et al[41] demonstrated longer Al and ACD in Myanmar elderly men compared to women. Thus, larger AL and ACD in men is a common finding in all age groups of most studies. Since the biometric structure tends towards emmetropia in adolescent ages, the higher LP and CR in girls seems to be a compensation mechanism for the shorter AL.

Based on linear multiple regression results, there was no significant correlation between ocular biometric components and age in this study. One reason could be the small age range (14 to 20 years) of the study sample, as well as the small sample size. Also, studies have shown that most changes in ocular biometrics occur during childhood and adolescence up to the age of 14.[24,41] These changes include increases in AL and ACD, and decreases in LT and LP. However, in the study in Nepal, Garner demonstrated that biometric components change between the ages of 6 and 18 years.[42] Nonetheless, detailed results of Garner's study indicated that the slope curved upward mostly up to the age of 15 years, and biometric components did not have obvious changes thereafter.[25] Also, it must be noted that some studies have observed a shortening trend in AL and ACD after the age of 40 years,[41,43-45] and results indicate that LP[45] decreases with age while LT increases.[29] Overall, ocular biometrics appear to be stable between the ages of 15 and 40 years; changes before the age of 15 years are

due to emmetropization while changes after the age of 40 are due to alterations in the lens protein structure.

In this study Mean WTW was 12.26 mm; this was 11.81 mm in the 14-19 year age group in the Tehran Eye Study, and 11.99 mm in 19-29 year old Koreans. Overall, WTW shows great variation among different studies. Factors such as race, ethnicity, and study age group could be some of the most important determinants. However, it should be noted that studies use different devices for measuring the WTW which can be a main cause of variations. WTW readings were not only higher among boys, but also among urban students, which is hard to explain, and thus, further studies are suggested to shed light on this issue.

Table 6. Ocular biometry in other studies

	Age (year)	AL (mm)	ACD (mm)	CR (mm)	LT (mm)	VCD (mm)	LP (diopter)
This study	14-20	23.4±0.84	3.14±0.25	7.74±0.27	3.44±0.19	16.82±0.83	22.65±1.83
U.K[46] white	17-30	23.91±1.18	3.62±0.32	7.74±0.29	NA	NA	NA
U.K[46] Asian	17-30	24.09±1.24	3.55±0.28	7.77±0.24	NA	NA	NA
Madrid[30]	20.32±2.82	23.61±1.05	3.52±0.30	7.75±0.25	3.61±0.14	NA	NA
Australia[11]	11-15	23.38±0.85	NA	7.78±0.25	NA	NA	NA
Jordanian[1]	17-22	23.08±1.01	3.32±0.46	7.71±0.28	3.71±0.38	15.99±0.92	NA
Australian[47]	5.5– 8.4	22.61	3.34	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chinese[48]	7-12	22.7±0.90	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sydney, Australia[49]	12	23.38±0.85	3.67±0.25	7.78±0.25	NA	NA	22.15±1.46
Nepal[42]	18	NA	3.53	7.81	3.38	16.27	23.31
Orinda[24]	6-13	22.9±0.7	3.7±0.2	NA	3.5±0.1	15.8±0.7	23.6±2
White European[8]	9.8-12	23.01	3.42	7.80	NA	NA	NA
Black African Caribbean[8]	9.8-12	23.25	3.39	7.85	NA	NA	NA
South Asian[8]	9.8-12	23.43	3.42	7.81	NA	NA	NA

NA: The data not available, AL: Axial length, ACD: Anterior chamber depth, CR: Corneal radius, LT: Lens thickness, VCD: Vitreous chamber depth, LP: Lens power

Conclusion

In this report, we described the normal range of ocular biometric components in a sample population of 14-20 years old. ACD in this study was shorter and WTW was higher than previous studies; other components were in the mid range. Further studies are necessary in Iran to confirm a shorter ACD and larger WTW. Inter-gender differences in all biometric components were statistically significant. Differences in anterior chamber and WTW diameter must be considered when contact lenses or intraocular lenses are prescribed in the studied population.

References

1. Mallen EA, Gammoh Y, Al-Bdour M, Sayegh FN. Refractive error and ocular biometry in Jordanian adults. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2005;25(4):302-9.
2. Wickremasinghe S, Foster PJ, Uranchimeg D, Lee PS, Devereux JG, Alsbirk PH, et al. Ocular biometry and refraction in Mongolian adults. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004;45(3):776-83.
3. Yekta AA, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Ostadimoghaddam H, Heravian J, Heydarian S, et al. Relationship between refractive errors and ocular biometry components in carpet weavers. Iranian Journal of Ophthalmology 2010;22(2):45-54.
4. Aristodemou P, Knox Cartwright NE, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL. Formula choice: Hoffer Q, Holladay 1, or SRK/T and refractive outcomes in 8108 eyes after cataract surgery with biometry by partial coherence interferometry. J Cataract Refract Surg 2011;37(1):63-71.
5. Falkner-Radler CI, Benesch T, Binder S. Accuracy of preoperative biometry in vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery for patients with epiretinal membranes and macular holes: results of a prospective controlled clinical trial. J Cataract Refract Surg 2008;34(10):1754-60.
6. MacLaren RE, Natkunarajah M, Riaz Y, Bourne RR, Restori M, Allan BD. Biometry and formula accuracy with intraocular lenses used for cataract surgery in extreme hyperopia. Am J Ophthalmol 2007;143(6):920-31.
7. Haigis W. Intraocular lens calculation after refractive surgery for myopia: Haigis-L formula. J Cataract Refract Surg 2008;34(10):1658-63.
8. Rudnicka AR, Owen CG, Nightingale CM, Cook DG, Whincup PH. Ethnic differences in the prevalence of myopia and ocular biometry in 10- and 11-year-old children: the Child Heart and Health Study in England (CHASE). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010;51(12):6270-6.

9. Trivedi RH, Wilson ME. Biometry data from caucasian and african-american cataractous pediatric eyes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007;48(10):4671-8.

10. Wang D, Huang G, He M, Wu L, Lin S. Comparison of anterior ocular segment biometry features and related factors among American Caucasians, American Chinese and mainland Chinese. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2012;40(6):542-9.

11. Ip JM, Huynh SC, Robaei D, Kifley A, Rose KA, Morgan IG, et al. Ethnic differences in refraction and ocular biometry in a population-based sample of 11-15-year-old Australian children. Eye (Lond) 2008;22(5):649-56.

12. Anera RG, Soler M, de la Cruz Cardona J, Salas C, Ortiz C. Prevalence of refractive errors in school-age children in Morocco. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2009;37(2):191-6.

13. Brown SA, Weih LM, Fu CL, Dimitrov P, Taylor HR, McCarty CA. Prevalence of amblyopia and associated refractive errors in an adult population in Victoria, Australia. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2000;7(4):249-58.

14. Hashemi H, Fotouhi A, Mohammad K. The age- and gender-specific prevalences of refractive errors in Tehran: the Tehran Eye Study. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2004;11(3):213-25.

15. Pan CW, Wong TY, Lavanya R, Wu RY, Zheng YF, Lin XY, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in Indians: the Singapore Indian Eye Study (SINDI). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(6):3166-73.

16. Saw SM, Chan YH, Wong WL, Shankar A, Sandar M, Aung T, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in the Singapore Malay Eye Survey. Ophthalmology 2008;115(10):1713-9.

17. Schellini SA, Durkin SR, Hoyama E, Hirai F, Cordeiro R, Casson RJ, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors in a Brazilian population: the Botucatu eye study. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2009;16()2:90-7.

18. Wong TY, Foster PJ, Hee J, Ng TP, Tielsch JM, Chew SJ, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for refractive errors in adult Chinese in Singapore. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2000;41(9):2486-94.

19. Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Yekta AA, Mohammad K, Khoob MK. Characteristics of astigmatism in a population of schoolchildren, Dezful, Iran. Optom Vis Sci 2011;88(9):1054-9.

20. Yekta A, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Dehghani C, Ostadimoghaddam H, Heravian J, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors among schoolchildren in Shiraz, Iran. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2010;38(3):242-8.

21. Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Mohammad K. The prevalence of refractive errors among schoolchildren in Dezful, Iran. Br J Ophthalmol 2007;91(3):287-92.

22. Rezvan F, Khabazkhoob M, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Ostadimoghaddam H, Heravian J, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors among school children in Northeastern Iran. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2012;32(1):25-30.

23. Ostadimoghaddam H, Fotouhi A, Hashemi H, Yekta A, Heravian J, Rezvan F, et al. Prevalence of the refractive errors by age and gender: The Mashhad eye study of Iran. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2011;39(8):743-51.

24. Zadnik K, Mutti DO, Mitchell GL, Jones LA, Burr D, Moeschberger ML. Normal eye growth in emmetropic schoolchildren. Optom Vis Sci 2004;81(11):819-28.

25. Garner LF, Yap MK, Kinnear RF, Frith MJ. Ocular dimensions and refraction in Tibetan children. Optom Vis Sci 1995;72(4):266-71.

26. Bennett AG. A method of determining the equivalent powers of the eye and its crystalline lens without resort to phakometry. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 1988;8(1):53-9.

27. Mutti DO, Zadnik K, Adams AJ. The equivalent refractive index of the crystalline lens in childhood. Vision Res 1995;35(11):1565-73.

28. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Mehravaran S, Yazdani K, Mohammad K, Fotouhi A. The distribution of anterior chamber depth in a Tehran population: The Tehran eye study. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2009;29(4):436-42.

29. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Miraftab M, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Abdolahinia T, et al. The distribution of axial length, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, and vitreous chamber depth in an adult population of Shahroud, Iran. BMC Ophthalmol 2012;12:50.

30. González Blanco F, Sanz Ferńandez JC, Muńoz Sanz MA. Axial length, corneal radius, and age of myopia onset. Optom Vis Sci 2008;85(2):89-96.

31. Chen YP, Prashar A, Erichsen JT, To CH, Hocking PM, Guggenheim JA. Heritability of ocular component dimensions in chickens: genetic variants controlling susceptibility to experimentally induced myopia and pretreatment eye size are distinct. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(7):4012-20.

32. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Yazdani K, Mehravaran S, Mohammad K, Fotouhi A. White-to-white corneal diameter in the Tehran eye study. Cornea 2010;29(1):9-12.

33. Rüfer F, Schröder A, Erb C. White-to-white corneal diameter: normal values in healthy humans obtained with the Orbscan II topography system. Cornea 2005;24(3):259-61.

34. Seitz B, Langenbucher A, Zagrada D, Budde W, Kus MM. [Corneal dimensions in various types of corneal dystrophies and their effect on penetrating keratoplasty]. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd 2000;217(3):152-8. [Article in German]

35. Zadnik K, Manny RE, Yu JA, Mitchell GL, Cotter SA, Quiralte JC, et al. Ocular component data in schoolchildren as a function of age and gender. Optom Vis Sci 2003;80(3):226-36.

36. Shih YF, Chiang TH, Lin LL. Lens thickness changes among schoolchildren in Taiwan. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50(6):2637-44.

37. Garner LF, Meng CK, Grosvenor TP, Mohidin N. Ocular dimensions and refractive power in Malay and Melanesian children. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 1990;10(3):234-8.

38. Atchison DA, Markwell EL, Kasthurirangan S, Pope JM, Smith G, Swann PG. Age-related changes in optical and biometric characteristics of emmetropic eyes. J Vis 2008;8(4):29.1-20.

39. Olsen T, Arnarsson A, Sasaki H, Sasaki K, Jonasson F. On the ocular refractive components:

80

the Reykjavik Eye Study. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 2007;85(4):361-6.

40. He M, Huang W, Li Y, Zheng Y, Yin Q, Foster PJ. Refractive error and biometry in older Chinese adults: the Liwan eye study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50(11):5130-6.

41. Warrier S, Wu HM, Newland HS, Muecke J, Selva D, Aung T, Casson RJ. Ocular biometry and determinants of refractive error in rural Myanmar: the Meiktila Eye Study. Br J Ophthalmol 2008;92(12):1591-4.

42. Garner LF, Stewart AW, Owens H, Kinnear RF, Frith MJ. The Nepal Longitudinal Study: biometric characteristics of developing eyes. Optom Vis Sci 2006;83(5):274-80.

43. Foster PJ, Alsbirk PH, Baasanhu J, Munkhbayar D, Uranchimeg D, Johnson GJ. Anterior chamber depth in Mongolians: variation with age, sex, and method of measurement. Am J Ophthalmol 1997;124(1):53-60.

44. He M, Huang W, Zheng Y, Alsbirk PH, Foster PJ. Anterior chamber depth in elderly Chinese: the Liwan eye study. Ophthalmology 2008;115(8):1286-90.

45. Gudmundsdottir E, Arnarsson A, Jonasson F. Five-year refractive changes in an adult population: Reykjavik Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2005;112(4):672-7.

46. Logan NS, Davies LN, Mallen EA, Gilmartin B. Ametropia and ocular biometry in a U.K. university student population. Optom Vis Sci 2005;82(4):261-6.

47. Ojaimi E, Rose KA, Morgan IG, Smith W, Martin FJ, Kifley A, et al. Distribution of ocular biometric parameters and refraction in a population-based study of Australian children. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46(8):2748-54.

48. Huang Y, Huang C, Li L, Qiu K, Gong W, Wang Z, et al. Corneal biomechanics, refractive error, and axial length in Chinese primary school children. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52(7):4923-8.

49. Ip JM, Huynh SC, Kifley A, Rose KA, Morgan IG, Varma R, et al. Variation of the contribution from axial length and other oculometric parameters to refraction by age and ethnicity. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007;48(10):4846-53.